Field Observations Following the 2023 Turkiye-Syria Earthquakes

Rémy D. Lequesne, Ph.D., P.E., FACI

Associate Professor at the University of Kansas





The Earthquakes

The Earthquakes

- February 6, 2023
 - Pazarcik: $M_w = 7.8$
 - Elbistan: $M_w = 7.5$
- Impacts:
 - Nearly 60,000 deaths
 - 3 million displaced
 - Economic losses > 1/10 of GDP



Sources:

https://earthquake.usgs.gov/storymap/index-turkey2023.html

https://www.redcross.org.uk/stories/disasters-and-emergencies/world/turkey-syria-earthquake

https://www.npr.org/2023/08/30/1191264192/turkey-earthquake-rebuild-displaced-people-adiyaman

https://www.barrons.com/news/donor-conference-seeks-to-rally-quake-aid-for-turkey-syria-bce11409



(Source: Egemen Sonmez, AFAD)

The ACI 133 Survey

The Survey

American Concrete Institute (ACI)

Dicle University Eskisehir Osmangazi University Hacettepe University Harran University Izmir Institute of Technology Izmir University of Economics National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Purdue University University of Canterbury University of Kansas University of Nebraska Wiss, Janney, Elstner Associates (WJE)



The ACI 133 Survey



March 25 to April 6, 2023

10 Cities

Surveyed 322 buildings; complete records obtained for 242 buildings

The ACI 133 Survey

For each building, groups of three to four engineers:

- 1) Documented damage
- Measured span lengths and column/wall dimensions



UIDANCE DOCUMENT: ACI 133 Reconnaissance Activities

Observed Damage: Problematic Reinforcement Details



Concrete cover or longitudinal bar spacing smaller than required

Lack of crossties



Lack of 135-degree hooks

Widely spaced transverse reinforcement around smalldiameter longitudinal bars



Widely spaced transverse reinforcement at cold joints



Offset-bent longitudinal bars (socalled "dog-Leg" detail) at the base of columns/walls



Lap splices at column and wall bases



Bar terminations in beams



Detailing of short "nonparticipating" beams

Code Compliant (?) Problematic Details



Lack of confinement for column and wall longitudinal bars inside foundation

ACI 318 prohibits in SDC D, E, F; Consider extending to IMF?

Code Compliant (?) Problematic Details



Unconfined beam bars in beamcolumn joints

ACI 318 prohibits in SMF; Consider extending to "nonparticipating", IMF, and OMF

Nonstructural Damage

Nonstructural Damage



Nonstructural Damage





















• Hassan and Sozen (1997):

Wall Index: $WI = \frac{Area(RC Walls) + Area(Masonry Walls)/10}{Total Floor Area Above Critical}$

Column Index: $CI = \frac{Area(Columns)/2}{Total Floor Area Above Critical}$







- 1992 Erzincan
- 1999 Bolüzce
- 2023 Pazarcik





Summary of Contributing Factors

- Ground motion intensity was substantially greater than expected
- Large drifts revealed problematic reinforcement details, many of which were not code compliant
- Damage to non-structural elements made well-designed buildings dangerous and unusable
- Buildings with more walls did better

Recommendations

- Drift control should be prioritized; recommend WI > 0.25%
- Reducing damage to nonstructural elements is essential for reducing population dislocation
- Proper reinforcement detailing is essential for limiting damage
- Engineers should bear in mind that design ground motions may be substantially exceeded



Containers in Besni in 2024, Source: Aljazeera



Tents in Antakya in 2024, Source: Washington Post

